Strategy Writing in PVS

César A. Muñoz

NASA Langley Research Center
Cesar.A.Munoz@nasa.gov

PVS Strategies

- A conservative mechanism to extend theorem prover capabilities by defining new proof commands, i.e.,
- User defined strategies do not compromise the soundness of the theorem prover.

Outline

PVS Strategy Language

- Atomic (blackbox) proof rules are called *rules* in PVS.
- Non-atomic (glassbox) proof rules are called *strategies* in PVS.

Henceforth, we use strategy to refer both glassbox strategies and atomic rules.

Basic Steps

- Any proof command, e.g., `(ground)`, `(case ...)`, etc.
- `(skip)` does nothing.
- `(skip-msg message)` prints `message`.
- `(fail)` fails the current goal and reaches the next backtracking point.
- `(label label fnums)` labels formulas `fnums` with string `label`.
- `(unlabel fnums)` unlabels formulas `fnums`.

Combinators

- Sequencing: `(then step1 ...stepn)`.
- Branching: `(branch step (step1 ...stepn))`.
- Binding local variables:
  `(let ((var1 lisp1) ...(varn lispn)) step)`.
- Conditional: `(if lisp step1 step2)`.
- Loop: `(repeat step)`.
- Backtracking: `(try step step1 step2)`.

Sequencing

- `(then step1 ...stepn)`:
  Sequentially applies `stepi` to *all the subgoals* generated by the previous step.
- `(then@ step1 ...stepn)`:
  Sequentially applies `stepi` to *the first subgoal* generated by the previous step.

Branching

- `(branch step (step1 ...stepn))`:
  Applies `step` and then applies `stepi` to the *i*'th subgoal generated by `step` . If there are more subgoals than steps, it applies `stepn` to the subgoals following the *n*'th one.
- `(spread step (step1 ...stepn))`:
  Like branch, but applies `skip` to the subgoals following the *n*'th one.

Binding Local Variables

- `(let ((var1 lisp1) ...(varn lispn)) step)`: Allows local variables to be bound to Lisp forms (`vari` is bound to `lispi`).
- Lisp code may access the proof context using the PVS Application Programming Interface (API).

Conditional and Loops

- `(if lisp step1 step2)`:
  If `lisp` evaluates to `NIL` then applies `step2`. Otherwise, it applies `step1`.
- `(repeat step)`:
  Iterates `step` (while it does something) on the the first subgoal generated at each iteration.
- `(repeat* step)`:
  Like `repeat`, but carries out the repetition of `step` along *all the subgoals* generated at each iteration.*

*Note that `repeat` and `repeat*` are potential sources of infinite loops.

Backtracking

- Backtracking is achieved via (`try step step1 step2`).
- Informal (but naive) explanation: Tries `step`, if it *does nothing*, applies `step2` to the new subgoals. Otherwise, applies `step1`.
- The behavior of `try` is far more complex:
  - What is the meaning of "does nothing"?
  - How does the backtracking feature work?

To Do or Not to Do

`step` does nothing usually means that no subgoals are generated (but this is not enough).

`step` does nothing when

- it behaves as `skip`.
- the proof context before and after `step` is exactly the same.
- PVS says so:

```
Rule?  step
No change on:  step
```

The Semantics of `try`

$$\frac{\texttt{step} \Rightarrow \texttt{(fail)}}{\texttt{(try step step1 step2)} \Rightarrow \texttt{(fail)}}$$

$$\frac{\texttt{step} \Rightarrow \texttt{(skip)}}{\texttt{(try step step1 step2)} \Rightarrow \texttt{step2}}$$

$$\frac{\texttt{step1} \Rightarrow \texttt{(fail)}}{\texttt{(try step step1 step2)} \Rightarrow \texttt{(skip)}}$$

$$\frac{\text{otherwise}}{\texttt{(try step step1 step2)} \Rightarrow \texttt{step1}}$$

$$\frac{\texttt{stepi} \Rightarrow \texttt{(fail)}}{\texttt{(...stepi ...)} \Rightarrow \texttt{(fail)}}$$

Furthermore, `fail` *does not* propagate outside blackbox rules.

Example

What does `(try (grind) (fail) (skip))` do ?

- if `(grind)` $\Rightarrow$ `(skip)`, then `(skip)`
- if `(grind)` $\not\Rightarrow$ `(skip)`, then `(skip)`
- if `(grind)` finishes the proof, then `Q.E.D.`

It either completes the proof with `(grind)`, or does nothing.

Writing your Own Strategies

- New strategies are defined in a file named `pvs-strategies` in the current context. PVS automatically loads this file when the theorem prover is invoked.
- Strategies may be defined in an arbitrary file *my_own_strategies*. In this case, the file can be loaded with the command `(load "my_own_strategies")` in the file `pvs-strategies`.
- The `IMPORTING` clause loads the file `pvs-strategies` if it is defined in the imported library.

Caveats

- PVS only loads `pvs-strategies` when this file has been updated. If we modify *my_own_strategies*, we also have to *touch* `pvs-strategies`, so that PVS automatically loads the modifications.
- Beware of name clashes: Loading a strategy definition file overwrites previous strategies with the same name.

Strategy Definition

- A strategy definition has the form:

```
(defstep name (parameters)
  step
  help-string  format-string)
```

- E.g., "Hello World" in PVS:

```
(defstep hello-world ()
   (skip-msg "Hello World")
   "Prints 'Hello World' and does nothing else"
   "Printing 'Hello World'")
```

"Hello World" in PVS

In the theorem prover:

```
Rule? (hello-world)
Printing 'Hello World'
Hello World
No change on: (hello-world)

Rule? (help hello-world)
(hello-world/$) :
    Prints 'Hello World' and does nothing else
```

Blackbox vs. Glassbox

- `defstep` generates a (blackbox) rule `name` and a (glassbox) strategy `name$`.
- `defhelper`: Same as `defstep` but for internal use only – excluded from standard user interface.
- `defstrat`: Defines a glassbox strategy `name`. Does not take the `format-string` argument.

Defining a Finite Loop

In pvs_strategies:

```
(defstrat for (n step)
  (if (<= n 0)
      (skip)
      (let ((m (- n 1)))
           (then@ step (for m step))))
  "Repeats step n times")
```

Using a Finite Loop

In the theorem prover:

```
ex1 :
  |-------
{1}   sqrt(sq(x)) + sqrt(sq(y)) + sqrt(sq(z)) <= x+y+z

Rule? (for 2 (rewrite "sqrt_sq_abs"))
...

  |-------
{1}   abs(x) + abs(y) + sqrt(sq(z)) <= x+y+z
```

References

- Documentation: PVS Prover Guide, N. Shankar, S. Owre, J. Rushby, D. Stringer-Calvert, SRI International: `http://www.csl.sri.com/pvs.html`.
- Proceedings of STRATA 2003: `http://hdl.handle.net/2060/20030067561`.
- Programming: Lisp The Language, G. L. Steele Jr., Digital Press. See, for example, `http://www.supelec.fr/docs/cltl/clm/node1.html`.

PVS Strategies and Lisp

- Arbitrary Lisp expressions (functions, global variables, etc.) can be included in a strategy file.
- PVS's data structures are based on various Common Lisp Object System (CLOS) classes. They are available to the strategy programmer through global variables and accessory functions.

Proof Context: Global Variables

`*ps*`	Current proof state
`*goal*`	Goal sequent of current proof state
`*label*`	Label of current proof state
`*par-ps*`	Current parent proof state
`*par-label*`	Label of current parent
`*par-goal*`	Goal sequent of current parent
`*+*`	Consequent sequent formulas
`*-*`	Antecedent sequent formulas
`*new-fmla-nums*`	Numbers of new formulas in current sequent
`*current-context*`	Current typecheck context
`*module-context*`	Context of current module
`*current-theory*`	Current theory

PVS Context: Accessory Functions

- `(select-seq (s-forms *goal*) fnums)` retrieves the sequent formulas `fnums` from the current context.
- `(formula seq)` returns the expression of the sequent formula `seq`.
- `(operator expr)`, `(args1 expr)`, and `(args2 expr)` return the operator, first argument, and second argument, respectively, of expression `expr`.

PVS Context: Recognizers

Negation	(negation? expr)
Disjunction	(disjunction? expr)
Conjunction	(conjunction? expr)
Implication	(implication? expr)
Equality	(equation? expr)
Equivalence	(iff? expr)
Conditional	(branch? expr)
Universal	(forall-expr? expr)
Existential	(exists-expr? expr)

Formulas in the antecedent are negations.

Gold Mining in PVS

- In the theorem prover the command `LISP` evaluates a Lisp expression.
- In Lisp, `show` (or `describe`) displays the content and structure of a CLOS expression. The generic `print` is also handy.

Example

```
  |-------
{1}   sqrt(sq(x)) + sqrt(sq(y)) + sqrt(sq(z)) >= x+y+z

Rule? (LISP (show
      (formula (car (select-seq (s-forms *goal*) 1)))))

sqrt(sq(x)) + sqrt(sq(y)) + sqrt(sq(z)) >= x + y + z is
an instance of #<STANDARD-CLASS INFIX-APPLICATION>:
 The following slots have :INSTANCE allocation:
  OPERATOR              >=
  ARGUMENT              (sqrt(sq(x))+sqrt(sq(y))+sqrt(sq(z)),
                         x + y + z)
  ...
```

An Example

- Assume we have a goal $e_1 = e_2$.
- Our strategy is to use an injective function f such that $f(e_1) = f(e_2)$. Then, by injectivity, $f(e_1) = f(e_2)$ implies $e_1 = e_2$.
- For instance, to prove

```
{-1}  cos(x) > 0
  |-------
{1}   sqrt(1 - sq(sin(x))) = cos(x)
```

we square both sides formula $\{1\}$, i.e., $f \equiv \texttt{sq}$.[†]

[†] The function `sq` is injective for non-negative reals.

both-sides-f

```
(defstep both-sides-f (f &optional (fnum 1))
  (let ((eqs (get-form fnum)))
       (if (equation? eqs)
           (let ((case-str (format nil "~a(~a) = ~a(~a)"
                                   f (args1 eqs)
                                   f (args2 eqs))))
                (case case-str))
           (skip)))
  "Applies function named F to both-sides of equality FNUM"
  "Applying ~a to both-sides of ~a")

(defun get-form (fnum)
  (formula (car (select-seq (s-forms *goal*) fnum))))
```

Using both-sides-f

```
Rule? (both-sides-f "sq")
Applying sq to both-sides of 1,
this yields  3 subgoals:
ex2.1 :
{-1}  sq(sqrt(1 - sq(sin(x)))) = sq(cos(x))
[-2]  cos(x) > 0
  |-------
[1]   sqrt(1 - sq(sin(x))) = cos(x)

ex2.2 :
[-1]  cos(x) > 0
  |-------
{1}   sq(sqrt(1 - sq(sin(x)))) = sq(cos(x))
[2]   sqrt(1 - sq(sin(x))) = cos(x)
```